See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235384811

Non-Human Predator Interactions with Wild Great Apes in Africa and the Use of Camera Traps to Study Their Dynamics

Article *in* Folia Primatologica · January 2012 DOI: 10.1159/000342143 · Source: PubMed



Global Patterns of Primate Conservation View project

Conservation status of the snow leopard in the Mongolian Altai Mountains View project

Non-Human Predator Interactions with Wild Great Apes in Africa and the Use of Camera Traps to Study Their Dynamics

Michelle Klailova^{a, b} Catarina Casanova^a Philipp Henschel^c Phyllis Lee^b Francesco Rovero^d Angelique Todd^e

^aDepartment of Anthropology, ISCSP/CAPP, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal; ^bPsychology, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK; ^cPanthera, New York, N.Y., USA; ^dSezione di Biodiversità Tropicale, Museo delle Scienze, Trento, Italy; ^eBai Hokou Primate Habituation Camp, World Wildlife Fund, Dzanga Sangha Protected Areas, Bayanga, Central African Republic

Key Words

Predation · Gorilla · Chimpanzee · Leopard · Antipredator behaviour · Camera traps · Species co-occurrence

Abstract

The slow life histories of great apes (hereafter 'apes') combined with a growing inventory of predation incidents suggest that apes may be strongly affected by direct predation, as well as by predation risk. Predation risk may shape and increase behavioural flexibility by forcing individuals to adapt their behaviour to predator patterns. Forest leopards are an apex predator of primates in African rain forests and may represent a significant risk to ape populations. More field data are needed to further elucidate the behavioural modifications of apes in response to predation. We present research methods that combine the use of remote camera traps, capture-mark-recapture statistics and occupancy modelling to study predator-African ape relationships and potential antipredator behaviour through spatial variation in species co-occurrence patterns.

Copyright © 2013 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Though theory recognizes predation pressure as a crucial determinant of sociality for many mammals [e.g. van Schaik et al., 1983], the importance of predation to primate evolution has been subject to debate [e.g. Anderson, 1986]. Its role in shaping the behaviour and morphology of primate species was thought to be immensely significant by early field primatologists [e.g. Chance, 1955; De Vore and Hall, 1965;

KARGER

Fax +41 61 306 12 34 E-Mail karger@karger.ch www.karger.com 0015-5713/12/0836-0312\$38.00/0 Accessible online at: www.karger.com/fpr

© 2013 S. Karger AG. Basel

Michelle Klailova Psychology School of Natural Sciences Stirling FK9 4LA (UK) E-Mail mk29@stir.ac.uk Crook and Gartlan, 1966; Alexander, 1974; reviewed in Cheney and Wrangham, 1987; Van Schaik and Höstermann, 1994; reviewed in Janson, 1998]. Unlike the chronic consequences of poor food or mate choice, an individual's future lifetime fitness can be devastated by only one failure to avoid a predator [Lima and Dill, 1990].

Direct measurements of the impact of predation on primate evolution have proven difficult to obtain [Stanford, 2002]. Predation is rarely seen due to (a) the cryptic nature of predators and some prey, and (b) the influence of researcher presence resulting in potential predator avoidance of study groups during observation periods [Tutin et al., 1981; Cheney and Wrangham, 1987]. Since direct evidence of primate predation is scarce [Janson, 1998], some authors have dismissed the role of predation in primate sociality [reviewed in Anderson, 1986]. However, low direct evidence of predation does not prove that predation is genuinely low or unimportant. Even if predation rates were accurately measured and found to be low, the size and composition of a primate group could still be significantly affected by only one predation event a year [Janson, 1992].

Studies that focussed on defining and assessing primate responses to predation risk (as opposed to direct predation events) have produced significant insights into the role that predation may play in group size and composition [e.g. Janson and Goldsmith, 1995; Cowlishaw, 1997; Hill and Dunbar, 1998; Hill and Lee, 1998], in signalling and communication [e.g. Treves, 2000; Zuberbühler, 2001; Arnold and Zuberbühler, 2006], and in interspecific associations [e.g. Noë and Bshary, 1997]. Comprehensive models predict that primate social groupings are thus based on a complex network of predation, ecological factors, competition, habitat saturation and infanticide avoidance [Wrangham, 1982; Terborgh and Janson, 1986; Van Schaik, 1989; Sterck et al., 1997; Isbell and Young, 2002; reviewed in Stanford, 2002].

Behavioural adaptations to minimize the chance of death due to predation should be expected even with very low probabilities of predatory events [Van Schaik et al., 1983]. It is imperative to distinguish between lethal predation causing mortality, and predation risk [Hill and Lee, 1998]. Antipredator strategies are thought to evolve from the level of perceived predation risk – defined as the probability of encountering a predator and the perception of attack danger – faced by an individual or a group [Hill and Dunbar, 1998; Hill and Lee, 1998; Hart, 2007].

Here, we present a literature review of non-human predation on great apes, including a discussion of the behavioural modifications of great apes in response to predation risk. More information in this area is required to better evaluate the consequences of ape predation on their socio-ecology. We therefore also present noninvasive research methods that combine the use of remote camera traps, capturemark-recapture (CMR) statistics and occupancy modelling to study predator-African ape relationships.

Does Large Body Size Protect Great Apes from Non-Human Predation?

Though a vast array of species are known or suspected to prey on primates (i.e. reptiles, raptors, felids, canids, hyaenids and smaller carnivores [Miller and Treves, 2006; Hart, 2007; this special issue]), great apes are thought to be protected from non-human predation pressure due to their large body size [Cheney and Wrangham, 1987] (but see also section on forest leopard predation below). Consequently, many believe

their grouping patterns are the result of feeding and mate competition, and infanticide avoidance [e.g. Wrangham, 1980; Watts, 1996]. However, the importance of predation to ape association patterns has remained largely unexplored [e.g. D'Amour et al., 2006], and their slow life histories combined with a growing inventory of predatorape incidents suggest that, contrary to traditional thought, predation pressure may strongly affect ape populations [Robbins et al., 2004]. Some studies have, for example, illustrated that lethal predation may be a significant cause of ape mortality [e.g. Boesch, 1991; Zuberbühler and Jenny, 2002; reviewed in Robbins et al., 2004] and that predation risk may shape and increase behavioural flexibility by forcing individuals to adapt their activities in relation to predator patterns [e.g. Boesch, 1991]. In order to achieve maximum fitness, individuals with long lifespans and larger brains are expected to invest in cognitive flexibility; effective antipredator tactics can thus be learned during a prolonged period of dependence [Shultz and Dunbar, 2006].

We conducted a comprehensive literature review, based solely on published accounts of African ape predation incidents (table 1). For this review, we searched internet databases (e.g. Web of Science, Google Scholar) and existing references within relevant articles. We review all lethal (resulting in death) and non-lethal (not resulting in death) predation incidents published on chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) and gorillas (*Gorilla* spp.). We focus solely on African apes since little is published on predatory incidents for orang-utans (*Pongo pygmaeus* or *P. abelii*) [Rijksen and Rijksen-Graatsma, 1975; Rijksen, 1978]. Table 1 also reviews published accounts of behavioural reactions to the detection of potential predators, and documents all published occasions where African ape remains were found in predator scat (although note that the possibility of scavenging cannot be ruled out).

Owing to their large body size, accounts suggest that large carnivores, like the leopard and the lion (*Panthera leo*) [Cheney and Wrangham, 1987] are the main predators of African apes. However, pythons are also suspected predators of apes [Goodall, 1968] as are some large raptors [Goodall, 1968], and the crocodile (Crocodylidae) [Parnell, 2002]. Though there may be other predators capable of killing apes such as the hyaena and the African wild dog (*Lycaon pictus*), predation on large primate species will be limited by predator size, strength, geographical home range and hunting strategies (i.e. group or solitary).

It is, however, likely that many accounts of predatory incidents have not been published and many more have gone undetected. A 'complete' data set is currently only available for the Bai Hokou Primate Habituation Camp (hereafter, 'Bai Hokou'), Dzanga-Sangha Protected Areas Complex (DSPA), Central African Republic, from 1997 to 2012. Research presence was continuous during this time frame. Only 3 (table 1) out of the 8 total detected events have been published. Unpublished events were described as: (1) dead subadult gorilla female found with leopard-like neck bites; (2) injured female gorilla seen with leopard-like wounds; (3) a 2-week-old gorilla baby found abandoned and freshly dead, and traces showed the group potentially fled from a leopard; (4) traces of a leopard were spotted following a gorilla group, and (5) 1 chimpanzee, who was missing a hand due to an old snare injury, was found dead with evidence of leopard attack and there was additional evidence that the leopard had dragged the carcass (all incidents were observed by A. Todd or a Bai Hokou assistant or tracker). Outside this 15-year period, an additional 2 accounts (table 1) have been published from data collected in 1987–1998 when research presence at the site was intermittent [Fay et al., 1995]. Once 'complete' data sets are available for all re-

Location	Ape	Predator	Incidents, reactions and remains	Reference
Bai Hokou	G.g.g.	Leopard	Attack on 1 silverback and 1 blackback δ ; not lethal	Fay et al., 1995
	G.g.g.	Leopard	Upon hearing leopard, group silverback quickly gathered group and moved quietly and swiftly out of area	Klailova, 2011 [L. Van der Weyde, pers. commun.]
	<i>G.g.g</i> .	Leopard	Study group's silverback severely injured in suspected attack; not lethal, but his group reduced in size by half	Cipolletta, 2003
Lopé	G.g.g.	Leopard	Gorilla remains found in scat	Tutin and Benirschke, 1991
	<i>G.g.g.</i> and <i>P.t.t.</i>	Leopard	Gorilla and chimpanzee remains found in scat	Henschel, 2005, 2008
Lossi	G.g.g.	Leopard	Blackback death; predation strongly suspected	Robbins et al., 2004
Mbeli Bai	G.g.g.	Crocodile	Upon detection, gorillas either clustered around silver- back who led them quickly away, vocalized aggressively until the crocodile left, reacted with fear (screaming), or became more vigilant to crocodile sound	Parnell, 2002
	G.g.g.	Leopard	Silverback in poor health found dead with signs of predation	Robbins et al., 2004
Mongambe	G.g.g.	Leopard	Adult gorilla male chased and attacked; unknown if lethal	Watson, 1999–2000; A. Todd, pers. observation
Ndakan	G.g.g.	Leopard	Gorilla remains found in scat	Fay et al., 1995
Various	<i>G.g.g.</i> and <i>P.t.</i> spp.	Leopard	Gorilla and chimpanzee remains found in scat	Hayward et al., 2006
Virungas	G.g.b.	Leopard	Death of 2–3 adults; anecdotes describe gorillas reacting with fear and fleeing upon detection, but one anecdote described gorillas ignoring leopard in full view	review in Schaller, 1963; review in Pitcairn, 1974
	G.g.b.	Leopard	Attack; heard gorillas screaming, 2 leopards stalking them from nest at night	Johnson, 1931
Idambo	<i>P.t.</i> sp.	Leopard	Female chimpanzees with infant attacked; infant killed	Rahm, 1967
Ituri	P.t. spp.	Leopard	Chimpanzee remains found in scat	Hart et al., 1996
Gombe	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Leopard	Juvenile in tree screamed at evidence of leopard in ravine but mother remained unperturbed	Goodall, 1968
	P.t.s.	Leopard	Chimpanzees ignored coughing leopard 50 yards away	Goodall, 1968
	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Python	Chimpanzees showed fear and avoidance of a nearly dead python	Goodall, 1968
	P.t.s.	Night adder and moni- tor lizards	Chimpanzees expressed surprise and hit reptiles until reptiles left	Goodall, 1968
	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Small snake	Chimpanzees ignored, were curious or chased off the snake	Goodall, 1968
	P.t.s.	Leopard	Chimpanzee threw branch at lame leopard	Goodall, 1968
	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Leopard	Chimpanzees ran up trees, alarm called, then mobbed leopard	Pierce, 2009
Kasakati Basin	P.t.t.	Leopard	Chimpanzees shook branches and loud called to unperturbed leopard 25 m away in tree	Izawa and Itani, 1966

Table 1. All published incidents of lethal and non-lethal predation of African apes, and behavioural reactions tothe detection of potential predators and findings of African ape remains in predator scat

Using Camera Traps to Study African Felid-Ape Folia Primatol 2012;83:312–328 Interactions

Table 1 (continued)

Location	Ape	Predator	Incidents, reactions and remains	Reference
Kigoma	P.t.s.	Lion	Chimpanzee threw branches until it ran off	Goodall, 1986 (M. Mbrisho, pers. observation)
Mahale	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Leopard	Chimpanzees in tree threw branches at leopard in undergrowth below	Nishida, 1968
	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Lion	Chimpanzees barked, whimpered, screamed upon detection; mobbed lioness and killed cub	Hiraiwa-Hasegawa et al., 1986
	P.t.s.	Lion	Chimpanzee hairs found in faeces	Inagaki and Tsukahara, 1993
	P.t.s.	Lion	4 deaths; chimpanzees showed little response to lion sound when in trees but fled and hid inside trees when in grassland; also reacted with silence and avoidance	Tsukahara and Nishida, 1990 Tsukahara, 1993;
	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Leopard	Chimpanzees made fearful, alarm calls and scanned environment upon hearing leopard	Kutsukake, 2006
Mt. Assirik	<i>P.t.v.</i>	Leopard	Female chimpanzee with infant chased out of a tree	Gandini and Baldwin, 1978
	<i>P.t.v.</i>	Leopards	Chimpanzees fled, went silent, or loud called upon detection; reaction was dependent on distance of leopard	Tutin et al., 1981
	P.t.v.	Hyaenas	No response to hyaena detection	Tutin et al., 1981
	<i>P.t.v.</i>	Lions	Chimpanzees did not respond, went silent or loud called upon detection; reaction was dependent on distance of lion	Tutin et al., 1981
Ndoki	P.t.t.	Leopard	Chimpanzees remains found in scat	Ososky, 1998
Petit Loango	<i>P.t.t.</i>	Leopard	Chimpanzee carcass found with evidence of leopard predation, faeces and tracks	Furuichi, 2000
Таї	P.t.s.	Leopard	A lone male repelled leopard without tools	Boesch and Boesch, 1989
	P.t.v.	Leopard	6 chimpanzee deaths, 4 chimpanzee injuries and 22 interactions with leopards occurred in 5 years; 39% of chimpanzee deaths due to leopards, chimpanzees mobbed and chased leopards	Boesch, 1991
	<i>P.t.v.</i>	Leopard ¹	1 leopard moved in the opposite direction of chimpanzees in 6/15 cases of drumming and/or screaming of party 100–125 m away	Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005
	<i>P.t.v.</i>	Leopard	2 dead juveniles were dragged and consumed; most likely scavenging	Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005
Ugalla	<i>P.t.s.</i>	Lion	15 chimpanzees driven up trees calling in agitation while lion hid in bush, charged and hit Kano and ran off	Kano, 1972; Itani, 1979
Salonga	P. paniscus	Leopard	Bonobo remains found in scat	D'Amour et al., 2006
Various	P.t. spp.	Leopard	Chimpanzees mobbed leopards, with and without sticks; in other cases chimpanzees reacted by scattering away from leopard; reactions differed according to savannah and forest habitats	Kortlandt, 1962, 1967; Kortlandt and Kooij, 1963; van Zon and van Orshoven, 1967; Kortlandt and van Zon, 1969; Albrecht and Dunnett, 1971

(For table footnote see next page.)

search sites, it may be possible to ascertain basic predation probabilities only if external variables are controlled (e.g. study length, length of researcher presence, number of groups followed, target study species, area size, detection probability).

Behavioural Adaptations of Great Apes to Potential Predation Risk

In this section we discuss adaptations to predation risk over time, rather than detailing behavioural reactions to specific predator-ape incidents (see previous section).

Predator avoidance in apes may be reflected in their nesting patterns. Most apes do not construct night nests in feeding trees which bear ripe fruit [Fruth and Hohmann, 1996]. This may help to avoid restless nights arising from interruptions of nocturnal frugivores (i.e. bats), and it may also diminish the risk of agonistic encounters [Rijsken, 1978] with potential predators. Forest leopards patrol fruit trees [Hart et al., 1996], and these areas often provide excellent cover for predators to attack while prey approach or descend from sleeping spots [Isbell, 1994]. Apes may also nest at different heights according to predation risk. When compared to their Equatorial Guinean counterparts who faced comparatively less predation risk due to the closed nature of the forest canopy, the Mt. Assirik (Senegal) chimpanzees of woodland-savannah and predator-rich habitats nested in more open trees, higher and often in larger groups [Baldwin et al., 1981; Tutin et al., 1983; see Pruetz et al., 2008, for similar results from Fongoli, Senegal]. Additionally, exposed environments can be risky due to the lack of trees available as escape routes. Tutin et al. [1983] suggest that it is this risk that causes chimpanzees of all parties at Mt. Assirik – especially mother/infant parties and lone individuals - to prefer more forested environments.

Brownlow et al. [2001] noted that adult male chimpanzees in the Budongo Forest, Uganda, nested lower than females in trees at night. Western lowland gorilla females nested arboreally more often and at higher sites than silverbacks [Tutin et al., 1995; Mehlmann and Doran, 2002]. These may reflect protective positions such that predators (or other extra-unit conspecific adult males) will be forced to confront the

⁽Table 1 footnote.)

Ape: G.g.g. = Gorilla gorilla gorilla; G.g.b. = Gorilla gorilla beringei; G.g. sp. = Gorilla gorilla species unknown; P.t.s. = Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii; P.t.v. = Pan troglodytes verus; P.t.t. = Pan troglodytes troglodytes; P.t. sp./spp. = Pan troglodytes species unknown or several species together.

Location: Bai Hokou Primate Habituation Camp, Dzanga-Sangha Protected Area Complex, Central African Republic; Gombe National Park, Tanzania; Idambo, Democratic Republic of Congo; Ituri Forest, Democratic Republic of Congo; Kasakati Basin, Tanzania; Kigoma, Tanzania; Lopé National Park, Gabon; Mahale Mountains National Park, Tanzania; Mbeli Bai, Nouabalé-Ndoki National Park, Republic of Congo; Mongambe Primate Habituation Camp, Dzanga-Sangha Protected Area Complex, Central African Republic; Mt. Assirik, Niokolo Koba National Park, Senegal; Ndakan Camp, Sangha River north of border with Republic of Congo, likely in current Nouabalé-Ndoki National Park; Nouabalé-Ndoki National Park, Republic of Congo; Petit Loango Reserve, Gabon; Salonga National Park, Democratic Republic of Congo; Taï National Park, Côte d'Ivoire; Ugalla, Tanzania; Virunga Volcanoes, Democratic Republic of Congo, Rwanda, Uganda.

¹ Though this example does not describe the behavioural reaction of apes to potential predators, it was included because it illustrates that some leopards may actively avoid chimpanzees. Chimpanzees can viciously attack leopards when threatened, and this may be the reason for some leopards to avoid them entirely.

adult male before they can reach other more vulnerable party members [De Vore and Hall, 1965; see Remis, 1994, for an alternative explanation concerning male weight and tree or branch size]. Remis [1993] also found that smaller and therefore more vulnerable groups of western lowland gorillas were more likely to nest arboreally than larger groups. Yamagiwa and Kahekwa [2001] showed that gorilla groups that did not contain an adult male leader were more likely to nest arboreally. The focal silverback of one single-male habituated group at Bai Hokou was significantly more likely to nest closest to the largest trail than any other group member [Klailova, 2011]. In rain forests, large trails are the main pathways of movement for predatory leopards [Henschel and Ray, 2003].

It has been suggested that parties of chimpanzees minimize predation risk by moving more swiftly, cohesively, quietly and in large numbers when crossing open habitats [reviewed in Tsukahara, 1993]. Rapid, unified movements were also observed in mountain gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla beringei*) crossing a particular open cattle area [Fossey, 1974], most likely rife with poachers during Fossey's tenure. Apes may form unique progressions within their group or party in response to the level of perceived danger and the vulnerability of its individuals [e.g. Schaller, 1963; Itani and Suzuki, 1967; Yamagiwa, 1983; Hockings, 2007]. At Bai Hokou when the focal gorilla group was feeding and therefore dispersed, its silverback was significantly more likely to be located at the back of the group, potentially ensuring that all individuals passed by safely. During periods of heavy rainfall – where movement can be very risky since approaching danger cannot be heard or seen – the focal silverback was significantly more likely to be nearer the 'leading' front of the group [Klailova, 2011].

Chimpanzees at Mt. Assirik appear to respond differently according to the perceived risk of each predator species, and according to predator distance upon detection [Tutin et al., 1981]. Kutsukake [2006] found that chimpanzees with no neighbours at Mahale Mountains National Park, Tanzania, increased vigilance when on the ground where the chance of encountering a leopard was higher. Additionally, standing and gazing at the surrounding environment, as a form of extreme vigilance, was mainly observed when leopards or chimpanzees in neighbouring groups were heard [Kutsukake, 2006].

Though party size is expected to increase with predation pressure [reviewed in Anderson, 1986], large groups may prove detrimental to safety in forest environments. Forest density limits the advantages of having 'many eyes' to detect predators. The noise produced by larger groups in forests may make them less able to hear oncoming danger. Furthermore, this same noise may allow predators to locate larger primate groups more efficiently. From a predation risk perspective, it may pay to remain in smaller, more concealed groups in rain forest habitats. Boesch [1991] showed that chimpanzees at Taï National Park, Côte d'Ivoire, respond to leopard predation risk by decreasing their party size and increasing party types better equipped (i.e. all-male parties) to defend against potential acts of predation. Little is known about the possible behavioural adaptations to predation pressure in bonobos [Hohmann and Fruth, 2002]. Predators are more common at Lomako, Democratic Republic of Congo, than at Wamba, Democratic Republic of Congo [White, 1996]. Interestingly, party sizes are smaller, lone parties are less common and mixed parties are more common at Lomako than at Wamba [Boesch, 1991; White, 1996]. While researchers have attributed these intersite differences to a variety of factors [Hohmann and Fruth, 2002], the potential effects of predation risk may have been overlooked.

Forest Leopard Predation on Apes

Open environments are said to be more dangerous to primates than closed habitats due to the lack of shelter and arboreal escape routes [e.g. Tutin et al., 1983; Parnell, 2002; Klailova, 2011]. However, apes living in rain forests must also navigate through extremely dense, low-visibility habitats that can become useful ambush spots [Isbell, 1994] for highly dangerous predators such as the forest leopard [Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005]. Forest leopards are the apex predator of non-human primates in African rain forests [Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005; Henschel, 2008] (table 1). As stated earlier (in the previous section), forest leopards may adopt a sit-andwait attack technique near favoured feeding spots, popular game trails or at the base of trees while prey feed arboreally [Isbell, 1994; Hart et al., 1996; Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005; Hayward et al., 2006]. Forest leopards are crepuscular, diurnal hunters known to follow the activity pattern of their prey species and can develop highly specialized prey preferences [Hart et al., 1996; Jenny, 1996; Ososky, 1998; Ray and Sunquist, 2001; Zuberbühler and Jenny, 2002; Henschel et al., 2005; Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005]. Primates represent a significant portion – approximately 17–25% – of forest leopard diet [reviewed in Henschel et al., 2005].

In relation to the carnivore guild of African rain forests, leopards prey on the largest mammals [Ray and Sunquist, 2001] and take larger prey than savannah leopards even when the relative biomass of each environment is accounted for [Kruuk and Turner, 1967; Fay et al., 1995; Hart et al., 1996]. Though they preferentially hunt medium-sized species in tropical habitats (7–30 kg) [Hart et al., 1996; Ososky, 1998; Ray and Sunquist, 2001; Henschel, 2005], leopards are capable of hunting mammals far larger than themselves. Fay et al. [2005] recorded several leopard hunts of forest buffalo and bongo (Tragelaphus euryceros) at Bai Hokou. Leopard predation on a 200 kg okapi (Okapia johnstoni) was witnessed in the Ituri Forest, Democratic Republic of Congo [T. and J. Hart, pers. commun. in Fay et al., 2005] where ungulates greater than 45 kg represented 21% of scat remains. While items found in scat may simply reflect scavenging, the large ungulate carcasses found in this study all showed evidence of predation struggle by leopards [Hart et al., 1996]. They have even been known to cache giraffes (Giraffa camelopardalis) in trees [e.g. Stevenson-Hamilton, 1947]. Leopards also have a long history of predation on hominids and humans [reviewed in Fay et al., 1995]. If leopards are capable of hunting the animals listed above, predation on apes should not be limited solely by their large body size.

Competition with human hunters for similar prey types in African rain forests coupled with high extraction rates have forced leopards to hunt outside their preferred prey weight range [Ray, 2001; Ray and Sunquist, 2001; Henschel, 2008; Hodgkinson, 2009]. If high levels of competition are experienced by leopards, they may be required to use different resources within their range [Jenny and Zuberbühler, 2005]. As they face direct competition with African golden cats (*Caracal aurata*) for smaller prey species (i.e. rodents) and humans for medium-sized prey [Hart et al., 1996; Ososky, 1998; Ray, 2001; Ray and Sunquist, 2001; Hodgkinson, 2009], hunting larger mammals such as apes may provide an energetically viable alternative [Fay et al., 1995; Hart et al., 1996; Ray and Sunquist, 2001].

While patterns of primate predation are starting to emerge, Anderson [1986], Cheney and Wrangham [1987], Isbell [1994] and Hill and Lee [1998] all emphasize that more field data on this topic are desperately needed if we are to effectively and confidently understand the impact of predation on ape socio-ecology. Several studies have advocated systematic collection of predator-primate patterns by habituating predators to observers or by working in teams of carnivore specialists and primatologists [Anderson, 1986; Isbell, 1994].

Camera Trapping, Capture-Mark-Recapture, and Occupancy Modelling of Species Co-occurrence Patterns

Camera traps – developed for wildlife research in the early 1980s [Carbone et al., 2001] – are automated cameras equipped with motion and/or infrared sensors. When triggered, they capture photos or take videos of animals passing by. They have been used to inventory elusive species [e.g. Rovero and De Luca, 2007; Tobler et al., 2008], to determine activity patterns and habitat preferences [e.g. Bowkett et al., 2007; Linkie et al., 2007; Henschel, 2008; Harmsen et al., 2009], to study behaviour through videos [e.g. Sanz and Morgan, 2007, 2011] and to estimate population size using CMR sampling techniques [e.g. Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Maffei et al., 2004; Silver et al., 2008; Balme et al., 2009; Rovero and Marshall, 2009].

Camera trapping allows for higher species detection rates than both dung and transect counts [Bowkett et al., 2006] and can detect the most crepuscular and rare species [e.g. Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Rovero et al., 2005] with 100% certainty. Although it has high initial costs [Silveira et al., 2003], it is more cost-efficient than traditional censuses in the long term [Rovero and Marshall, 2009]. It requires less personnel and decreased field time than conventional methods, and the traps can be re-used in future surveys [Rovero and Marshall, 2009]. Camera trapping simplifies data collection by greatly reducing the possibility for human error [Rovero and Marshall, 2009] and by removing potential bias, such as that resulting from poor interobserver reliability [e.g. Mitani et al., 2000]. Camera traps also enable continuous data collection (i.e. both day and night) and can collect additional information on other non-focal species during trapping periods [e.g. Henschel and Ray, 2003; Silveira et al., 2003; Henschel, 2008].

The use of camera traps for density estimation has proven successful when combined with CMR statistical analysis. This technique estimates the size of a population by trapping (photographing) and marking (identifying via photographs) individuals, releasing them, resampling the same population at a later time, and then comparing the proportion of recaptured individuals (i.e. rephotographed identified individuals) with the original number of captured individuals [Karanth and Nichols, 1998]. As with any statistical estimate of abundance, the probability of detection (i.e. non-detection does not necessarily mean true absence) must be accounted for to make accurate inferences [Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Pollock et al., 2002; Mackenzie and Nichols, 2004]. For the CMR technique to be deployable, however, the study species must be individually identifiable through natural markings [e.g. Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Azlan, 2006]. The spotting patterns of leopards make them a perfect subject for such studies [Henschel and Ray, 2003; Henschel, 2008]. However, it is difficult to consistently distinguish individuals from photographs for the majority of medium to large mammals captured by camera traps, including great apes. As a result, camera trapping methodologies have not yet been systematically implemented for use in ape surveys [Kühl et al., 2008], although the methods are currently being tested [L. Williamson and H. Kühl, pers. commun.; Klailova et al., in progress; Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, in progress; Morgan and Sanz, in progress].

Species spatial occurrence models can also draw inferences about species and community dynamics [Mackenzie and Nichols, 2004]. The study of occupancy - the proportion of an area occupied by a species – offers a promising surrogate to the capture-recapture technique [Mackenzie and Nichols, 2004]. While occupancy does not estimate absolute abundance, presence or detection versus absence or non-detection data can be used to characterize geographic ranges of a species, to study the variables that affect a species distribution and to assess local extinction and colonization probabilities and rates [Mackenzie et al., 2003; Mackenzie and Nichols, 2004]. Likelihood-based methods have been developed for occupancy modelling, which not only take into account imperfect detection probabilities (as with CMR surveys, species may go undetected), but also allow for the addition of environmental covariates [reviewed in Mackenzie and Nichols, 2004]. Since the model can cope with the inclusion of environmental covariates, researchers can assess relationships between various model parameters and site characteristics (i.e. habitat preference in relation to occupancy). Promising results by Linkie et al. [2007] illustrate that occupancy modelling in conjunction with camera trapping can be applied to non-individually identifiable species. They used camera traps in conjunction with a presence/absence survey, and 4 environmental variables to successfully determine sun bear (Helarctos *malayanus*) occupancy in three habitat types with various logging histories.

Camera trapping in combination with occupancy models of species' co-occurrence patterns [MacKenzie et al., 2004] can be used to study the complicated nature of predator-prey relationships between cryptic species, such as those between sympatric forest leopards and apes. Using a modified version of the single-species likelihood-modelling framework described above, interspecific relationships between cooccurring species can be explored via occupancy patterns for each target species [Mackenzie et al., 2004, 2005]. Do the species co-occur independently or does the presence/absence of one species depend on that of the other species? In other words, could a particular presence/absence matrix have occurred as a result of interspecific competition or predator-prey dynamics? Harmsen et al. [2009] used camera traps in conjunction with a basic occupancy framework to illustrate that sympatric individually identifiable jaguars (*Panthera onca*) and plain-coloured pumas (*Puma concolor*) in the Cockscomb Basin of Belize avoid one another more than they avoid conspecifics. It is hypothesized that the pumas may be exhibiting antipredator behaviour since jaguars are known to prey on the smaller puma [review in Harmsen et al., 2009].

Description of the Analytical Framework

The accuracy and precision of any camera trapping study will depend on: (1) study area size; (2) number and placement of cameras; (3) length of survey; (4) the number of 'trapping occasions' at each site/cell grid; (5) environmental and logistical considerations, and (6) the ability of the analytical programme of choice to handle variables which may bias result accuracy and precision.

Areas should be large enough to contain at least parts of the focal species' home ranges. The chance of an 'edge effect' – the likelihood of trapping partial residents [White et al., 1982] – will decrease as study area size increases. However, determin-

ing study area size will often be a compromise between desired size, landscape and logistics. For example: though sampling areas greater than 100 km² may be desirable for species with large home ranges, a researcher will struggle to cover this area if working alone and on foot.

Surveys should be short enough to meet the closed population assumption, where the study population size is not affected by death, birth or emigration/immigration. This is often considered to be 2–3 months for felids [reviewed in Henschel and Ray, 2003, and Henschel, 2008] but can vary appreciably between species and study sites. The study area must be evenly covered with traps so there are no holes in the trapping grid. This ensures that no individual present will have a zero chance of being captured [Karanth and Nichols, 2002]. Each event of sampling a site (i.e. or cell grid) within a survey area is termed a 'trapping occasion' [Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Henschel and Ray, 2003]. Results will be more precise, as the number of 'trapping occasions' increase [Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Henschel and Ray, 2003]. The length of time needed for one 'trapping occasion' will vary according to the detection probability of the target species [Mackenzie et al., 2002; Henschel and Ray, 2003]. Some studies may consider all pictures captured in each day to be separate trapping occasions [e.g. O'Brien et al., 2003], while others facing low detection probabilities may need to pool several days together into one trapping occasion [e.g. Henschel, 2008]. Within each sampling site, capture probabilities will be maximized by placing cameras in areas where focal species have the greatest chance of being detected [Henschel and Ray, 2003]. Camera placement at each site should be changed as often as possible to maximize capture probability, though the number of changes will clearly depend on logistics and area remoteness [Henschel and Ray, 2003; Harmsen et al., 2010]. Environmental conditions must also be considered during survey set-up. For example: periods of increased humidity due to rainfall can cause camera traps to malfunction, therefore avoiding peak wet seasons is advisable.

The basis for both CMR and occupancy analysis is the 'X-matrix' [reviewed in Henschel and Ray, 2003]. Each trapping occasion assigns a capture history (where '1' represents captured and '0' represents not captured) to every identified individual, or a detection history to target study species (where '1' represents detected and '0' represents not detected) [Karanth and Nichols, 1998; Henschel and Ray, 2003; Mackenzie et al., 2004]. Capture histories can then be analysed using the CAPTURE program [Rexstad and Burnham, 1991]. Density is calculated using the resulting population size computed by CAPTURE, divided by the area sampled with inclusion of an additional outer boundary strip to take 'partial residency' of trapped individuals into account [White et al., 1982] (see above). PRESENCE software [Proteus Wildlife Research Consultants, New Zealand; http://www.proteus.co.nz] computes occupancy from detection/non-detection matrices. As previously discussed, both programs cope with imperfect detection probabilities, and allow for the inclusion of environmental covariates (see the preceding section). Here, we have attempted only to provide a general summary of basic methodological principles. For more detailed information of methods, sample design considerations and a mathematical explanation of results computed by CAPTURE and PRESENCE software, see the references listed in this paragraph. Though not discussed here, note also that the application of newly developed spatially explicit CMR modeling (SECR) may perform better statistically than traditional CMR models, and may allow for the relaxation of some CMR model assumptions; such as the need for geographical closure in the sampled area and the assumption that no individual has a zero probability of being captured in the sampled area [review in Blanc et al., 2012; Sollman et al., 2012].

Additional Methods to Assess Predator-Prey Relationships

Understanding predator-prey relationships can be further illustrated by studying predator scat and, where feasible, assessing changes in prey preferences through time [e.g. Henschel, 2008]. Predator scat can be collected at regular intervals along game trails and roads during remote camera trap patrols. For every scat, reference information can be recorded (i.e. GPS position, diameter of scat, habitat, trail type). Collected scats can then be air-dried and stored in sealed plastic bags until further examination. Prey hair found in scat can be examined microscopically using methods described in Perrin and Campbell [1980] and Clement et al. [1980]. Other remains found in scat (i.e. bone fragments, teeth) can be used to support hair analysis results. Changes in niche overlap through time can then be explored by comparing current results to previous results for the study area. For example: current results from Bai Hokou could be compared to results from the Ray and Sunquist [2001] study done 16-18 years ago in the DSPA. Researchers should be careful when drawing inferences from scat analysis, since prey remains in faecal samples may be due to scavenging rather than direct predation. Nonetheless, assessing scat may be a useful and cost-effective addition to species' co-occurrence studies, since results could further complement camera trap findings.

Future Research

We plan to investigate species co-occurrence patterns in leopards-gorillas, and leopards-chimpanzees at Bai Hokou and the surrounding forests of DSPA in the Central African Republic and the Boé Administrative Sector of the Dulombi/Gabu region in Guinea-Bissau. Bai Hokou is home to two habituated groups and two semi-habituated gorilla groups and several other groups who range in the surrounding area. We will use camera traps to assess leopard density and occupancy in relation to gorilla occupancy and gorilla ranging patterns - ranging data at Bai Hokou is recorded continuously from direct daily follows and traces - of the sympatrically occurring habituated gorilla groups. We aim to use a combination of CMR and occupancy methods to elucidate the interspecific relationships between forest leopards and western lowland gorillas, by studying their spatial variation patterns. Results should allow us to answer questions such as: is interspecific avoidance occurring? And do western lowland gorillas avoid forest leopards? Upon completion of the gorilla-leopard surveys, we aim to assess chimpanzee-leopard relationships – which appear to vary greatly from those with gorillas (table 1) - in Guinea-Bissau. Since camera trapping data will be collected remotely and gorilla ranging data will be collected continuously from day follows (when researchers are present) and night traces (when researchers are absent), we hope to minimize biases resulting from potential predator avoidance of study groups during survey periods. Methods are currently being developed and we aim to test/apply them during a minimum of two 3-month surveys at each study site. Should methods be applied successfully, we hope to (a) shed more light on the nature of nonhuman predator-ape relationships and (b) provide researchers with a novel and reliable approach to systematically studying the behavioural modifications of predation risk in apes. We also plan to complement our investigation by conducting a review across African field sites of unpublished predation-ape events (see the section 'Does large body size protect great apes from non-human predation?').

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Dawn Burnham and Dr. Susan Cheyne at the Wildlife Conservation Research Unit for giving us the opportunity to participate in this special issue. We are also indebted to the Central African Republic, the World Wildlife Fund, Projecto Dari, and the Dzanga-Sangha Project and staff for their continued collaborations. Special thanks go to the Department of Anthropology, Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal, and the School of Natural Sciences (Psychology), University of Stirling, UK. Special thanks go to Leanne Van der Weyde who gave permission to use her leopard observation in this article. Acknowledgment of funding for Michelle Klailova is owed to Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, postdoctoral grant, Portugal, and to the Pantone Wildlife Trust, UK, and Panthera, USA.

References

Albrecht H, Dunnett SC (1971). Chimpanzees in Western Africa. Munich, Piper.

Alexander RD (1974). The evolution of social behavior. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 5: 325–384.

Anderson CM (1986). Predation and primate evolution. Primates 27: 15-39.

- Arnold K, Zuberbühler K (2006). Language evolution: semantic combinations in primate calls. *Nature* 441: 303.
- Azlan JM (2006). Mammal diversity and conservation in a secondary forest in peninsular Malaysia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 15: 1013–1025.
- Baldwin PJ, Sabáter PiJ, McGrew WC, Tutin CEG (1981). Comparisons of nests made by different populations of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Primates* 22: 474-486.
- Balme GA, Hunter LTB, Slotow R (2009). Evaluating methods for counting cryptic carnivores. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 73: 433-441.
- Blanc L, Marboutin E, Gatti S, Gimenez O (2012). Abundance of rare and elusive species: empirical investigation of closed versus spatially explicit capture-recapture models with lynx as a case study. The Journal of Wildlife Management doi: 10.1002/jwmg.453.
- Boesch C (1991). The effects of leopard predation on grouping patterns in forest chimpanzees. *Behavior* 117: 222–242.
- Boesch C, Boesch H (1989). Hunting behavior of wild chimpanzees in the Taï National Park. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 78: 547–573.
- Bowkett AE, Lunt N, Rovero F, Plowman AB (2006). How do you monitor rare and elusive mammals? Counting duikers in Kenya, Tanzania and Zimbabwe. In *Animals, Zoos and Conservation* (Zgrabczyńska E, Ćwiertnia P, Ziomek J, eds.), pp 21–28. Poznan, Zoological Garden in Poznan.
- Bowkett AE, Rovero F, Marshall AR (2007). The use of camera trap data to model habitat use by antelope species in the Udzungwa Mountain forests, Tanzania. *African Journal of Ecology* 46: 479–487.
- Brownlow AR, Plumptre AJ, Reynolds V, Ward R (2001). Sources of variation in the nesting behaviour of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) in the Budongo Forest, Uganda. American Journal of Primatology 55: 49–55.
- Brugiere D, Badinca I, Silva C, Serra A, Barry M (2005). Distribution and status of lions and leopards in Southern Guinea Bissau and Western Guinea, West Africa. *Cat News* 43: 13–17.
- Carbone C, Christie S, Conforti K, Coulson T, Franklin N, Ginsberg JR, Griffiths M, Holden J, Kawanishi K, Kinnaird M, Laidlaw R, Lynam A, Macdonald DW, Martyr D, McDougal C, Nath L, O'Brien T, Seidensticker J, Smith D, Sunquist M, Tilson R, Wan Shahruddin WN (2001). The use of photographic rates to estimate densities of tigers and other cryptic mammals. *Animal Conser*vation 4: 75–79.

Chance MRA (1955). The sociability of monkeys. Man 55: 162–165.

- Cheney DL, Wrangham RW (1987). Predation. In *Primate Societies* (Smuts BB, Cheney DL, Seyfarth RM, Wrangham RW, Stuhsaker TT, eds.), pp 227–239. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Cipolletta C (2003). Ranging patterns of a western gorilla group during habituation to humans in the Dzanga-Ndoki National Park, Central African Republic. *International Journal of Primatology* 24: 1207–1225.

Clement JL, Sotton M, Gastaldi G, Le Pareux A, Hagege R, Connet J (1980). Etude comparative des poils des singes anthropoïdes et de l'homme. *L'Anthropologie* 84: 243–253.

Cowlishaw G (1997). Trade-offs between foraging and predation risk determine habitat use in a desert baboon population. *Animal Behaviour* 53: 667–686.

Crook JH, Gartlan JS (1966). Evolution of primate societies. Nature (London) 210: 1200-1203.

- D'Amour DE, Hohmann G, Fruth B (2006). Evidence of leopard predation on bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). Folia Primatologica 77: 212–217.
- De Vore I, Hall K (1965). Baboon ecology. In *Primate Behavior: Field Studies of Monkeys and Apes* (De Vore I, ed.), pp 20-52. New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Fay MJ, Caroll R, Peterhans KCJ, Harris D (1995). Leopard attack on and consumption of gorillas in the Central African Republic. *Journal of Human Evolution* 29: 93–99.
- Fossey D (1974). Observation on the home range of one group of mountain gorillas (Gorilla gorilla beringei). Animal Behaviour 22: 568-581.
- Fruth B, Hohmann G (1996). Nest building behaviour in the great apes: the great leap forward? In Great Ape Societies (McGrew WC, Marchant LF, Nishida T, eds.), pp 225–240. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Furuichi T (2000). Possible case of predation on a chimpanzee by a leopard in the Petit Loango Reserve, Gabon. *Pan Africa News* 7: 21–23.
- Gandini G, Baldwin PJ (1978). An encounter between chimpanzees and a leopard in Senegal. *Carnivore* 1: 107–109.
- Goodall J (1986). The Chimpanzees of Gombe: Patterns of Behavior. Cambridge, Harvard University Press.
- Goodall JVL (1968). The behaviour of free-ranging chimpanzees in the Gombe Stream Reserve. *Animal Behaviour Monographs* 1: 161–311.
- Harmsen BJ, Foster RJ, Silver SC, Ostro LET, Doncaster CP (2009). Spatial and temporal interactions of sympatric jaguars (*Panthera onca*) and pumas (*Puma concolor*) in a Neotropical forest. Journal of Mammology 90: 612–620.
- Harmsen BJ, Foster RJ, Silver S, Ostro L, Doncaster CP (2010). Differential use of trails by forest mammals and the implications for camera-trap studies: a case study from Belize. *Biotropica* 42: 126– 133.
- Hart D (2007). Predation on primates: a biogeographical analysis. In *Primate Anti-Predator Strategies* (Gursky SL, Nekaris KAI, eds.), pp 27–59. New York, Springer.
- Hart JA, Katembo M, Punga K (1996). Diet, prey selection and ecological relations of leopard and golden cat in the Ituri Forest, Zaire. *African Journal of Ecology* 34: 364–379.
- Hayward MW, Henschel P, O'Brien J, Hofmeyr M, Balme G, Kerley GIH (2006). Prey preferences of the leopard (*Panthera pardus*). Journal of Zoology 270: 298–313.
- Henschel P (2008). The Conservation and Biology of the Leopard Panthera pardus in Gabon: Status, Threats and Strategies for Conservation. PhD dissertation, University of Göttingen.
- Henschel P, Ray J (2003). *Leopards in African Rainforests: Survey and Monitoring Techniques*. Global Carnivore Program. New York, Wildlife Conservation Society.
- Henschel P, Abernethy AK, White TJL (2005). Leopard food habits in the Lopé National Park, Gabon. *African Journal of Ecology* 43: 21–28.

Hill R, Dunbar RIM (1998). An evaluation of the roles of predation rate and predation risk as selective pressures on primate grouping behaviour. *Behaviour* 135: 411–430.

Hill RA, Lee PC (1998). Predation risk as an influence on group size in cercopithecoid primates: implications for social structure. *Journal of Zoology* 245: 447–456.

Hiraiwa-Hasegawa M, Byrne RW, Takasaki H, Byrne JME (1986). Aggression towards large carnivores by wild chimpanzees of Tanzania. *Folia Primatologica* 47: 8–13.

Hockings KJ (2007). Human-Chimpanzee Coexistence at Bossou, the Republic of Guinea: A Chimpanzee Perspective. PhD dissertation, University of Stirling.

Hodgkinson C (2009). Tourists, Gorillas and Guns: Integrating Conservation and Development in the Central African Republic. PhD dissertation, University College London.

Hohmann G, Fruth B (2002). Dynamics in social organization of bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). In *Behavioural Diversity in Chimpanzees and Bonobos* (Boesch C, Hohmann G, Marchant LF, eds.), pp 138–150. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Inagaki H, Tsukahara T (1993). A method of identifying chimpanzee hairs in lion feces. *Primates* 34: 109–112.

Isbell LA (1994). Predation on primates: ecological patterns and evolutionary consequences. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 3: 61–71.

- Isbell LA, Young TP (2002). Ecological models of female social relationships in primates: similarities, disparities, and some directions for future clarity. *Behaviour* 139: 177–202.
- Itani J (1979). Distribution and adaptation of chimpanzees in an arid area (Ugalla Area, Western Tanzania). In *The Great Apes* (Hamburg DA, McCown ER, eds.), pp 55–72. San Francisco, Benjamin/ Cummings.

Itani J, Suzuki A (1967). The social unit of chimpanzees. Primates 8: 355-381.

- Izawa K, Itani J (1966). Chimpanzees in Kasakata Basin, Tanganyika (I). Ecological study in the rainy season 1963–1964. Kyoto University African Studies 1: 73–156.
- Janson CH (1992). Evolutionary ecology of primate social structure. In *Evolutionary Ecology and Hu*man Behavior (Smith EA, Winterhalder B, eds.), pp 95–130. New York, Aldine.
- Janson CH (1998). Testing the predation hypothesis for vertebrate sociality: prospects and pitfalls. *Behaviour* 135: 389-410.
- Janson CH, Goldsmith ML (1995). Predicting group size in primates: foraging costs and predation risks. Behavioral Ecology 6: 326–336.
- Jenny D (1996). Spatial organization of leopards *Panthera pardus* in Taï National Park, Ivory Coast: is rainforest habitat a 'tropical haven'? *Journal of Zoology London* 240: 427-440.
- Jenny D, Zuberbühler K (2005). Hunting behaviour in West African forest leopards. African Journal of Ecology 43:197–200.
- Johnson M (1931). Congorilla (film). New York.
- Kano T (1972). Distribution and adaptation of the chimpanzee on the eastern shore of Lake Tanganyika. *Kyoto University African Studies* 7: 37-I29.
- Karanth UK, Nichols JD (1998). Estimation of tiger densities in India using photographic captures and recaptures. *Ecology* 79: 2852–2862.
- Karanth KU, Nichols JD (2002). Monitoring Tigers and Their Prey: A Manual for Researchers, Managers, and Conservationists in Tropical Asia. Bangalore, Centre for Wildlife Studies.
- Klailova M (2011). Interunit, Environmental and Interspecific Influences on Silverback-Group Dynamics in Western Lowland Gorillas (Gorilla gorilla). PhD dissertation, University of Stirling.
- Kormos R, Boesch C (2003). Regional Action Plan for the Conservation of Chimpanzees in West Africa. Gland, IUCN.
- Kortlandt A (1962). Chimpanzees in the wild. Scientific American 206: 128-138.
- Kortlandt A (1967). Experimentation with chimpanzees in the wild. In Neue Ergebnisse der Primatologie (Starck D, Schneider R, Kuhn HJ, eds.), pp 208–224. Stuttgart, Gustav Fischer. Kortlandt A, Kooij M (1963). Protohominid behaviour in primates (preliminary communication). Sym-
- Kortlandt A, Kooij M (1963). Protohominid behaviour in primates (preliminary communication). Symposia of the Zoological Society of London 10: 61–88.
- Kortlandt A, van Zon JČJ (1968/69.) The present state of research on the dehumanization hypothesis of African ape evolution. *Proceedings of the Second International Congress of Primatology, Atlanta, GA*. Basel, Karger.
- Kruuk H, Turner M (1967). Comparative notes on predation by lion, leopard, cheetah and wild dog in the Serengeti area, East Africa. *Mammalia* 31: 1–27.
- Kühl H, Maisels F, Ancrenaz M, Williamson EA (2008). Best Practice Guidelines for Surveys and Monitoring of Great Ape Populations. Gland, IUCN.
- Kutsukake N (2006). The context and quality of social relationships affect vigilance behaviour in wild chimpanzees. *Ethology* 112: 581–591.
- Lima SL, Dill LM (1990). Behavioral decisions made under the risk of predation: a review and prospectus. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68: 619–640.
- Linkie M, Dinata Y, Nugroho A, Haidir IA (2007). Estimating occupancy of data deficient mammalian species living in tropical rainforests: sun bears in the Kerinci Seblat region, Sumatra. *Biological Conservation* 137: 20–27.
- Mackenzie DI, Nichols JD (2004). Occupancy as a surrogate for abundance estimation. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation* 27: 461–467.
- Mackenzie DI, Bailey LL, Nichols JD (2004). Investigating species co-occurrence patterns when species are detected imperfectly. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73: 546–555.
- Mackenzie DI, Nichols JD, Hines JE, Knutson MG, Franklin AD (2003). Estimating site occupancy, colonization and local extinction probabilities when a species is not detected with certainty. *Ecology* 84: 2200–2207.
- Mackenzie DI, Nichols JD, Lachman GB, Droege S, Royle JA, Langtimm CA (2002). Estimating site occupancy rates when detection probabilities are less than one. *Ecology* 83: 2248–2255.
- MacKenzie DI, Nichols JD, Royle JA, Pollock KH, Bailey LL, Hines JE (2005). Occupancy Estimation and Modeling: Inferring Patterns and Dynamics of Species Occurrence. San Diego, Elsevier.
- Maffei L, Noss AJ, Cuellar E, Rumiz DI (2004). Ocelot (*Felis pardalis*) population densities, activity, and ranging behaviour in the dry forests of eastern Bolivia: data from camera trapping. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 21: 1–6.
- Mehlman PT, Doran DM (2002). Influencing western gorilla nest construction at Mondika Research Center. *International Journal of Primatology* 23: 1257–1285.

Miller LE, Treves A (2006). Predation on primates. In Primates in Perspective (Campbell C, Fuentus A, MacKinnon K, Panger K, Bearder SK, eds.), pp 525-536. New York, Oxford University Press.

Mitani JC, Struhsaker TT, Lwanga JS (2000). Primate community dynamics in old growth forest over 23.5 years at Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda: implications for conservation and census methods. International Journal of Primatology 21: 269-286.

Nishida T (1968). The social groups of wild chimpanzees in the Mahale Mountains. Primates 9: 167-224. Noë R, Bshary R (1997). The formation of red colobus-Diana monkey associations under predation pressure from chimpanzees. Proceedings of the Royal Society London 264: 253-259.

Ososky JJ (1998). Diet of Leopards and Golden Cats in Ndoki Park, Republic of Congo. Msc dissertation, University of Chicago, DeKalb.

Parnell RJ (2002). The Social Structure and Behaviour of Western Lowland Gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) at Mbeli Bai, Republic of Congo. PhD dissertation, University of Stirling.

Perrin MR, Campbell BS (1980). Key to the mammals of the Andries Vosloo Kudu Reserve, based on their hair morphology, for use in predator scat analysis. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 10: 1-14

Pierce A (2009). An encounter between a leopard and a group of chimpanzees at Gombe National Park. Pan Africa News 16: 22-24.

Pitcairn TK (1974). Aggression in natural groups of pongids. In Primate Aggression, Territoriality and Xenophobia: A Comparative Perspective (Holloway L, ed.), pp 241–274. New York, Academic Press. Pollock KH, Nichols JD, Simons TR, Farnsworth GL, Bailey LL, Sauer JR (2002). Large scale wildlife

monitoring studies: statistical methods for design and analysis. Environmetrics 13: 105-119.

Pruetz JD, Fulton SJ, Marchant LF, McGrew WC, Schiel M, Waller M (2008). Arboreal nesting as antipredator adaption by savanna chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes verus) in Southeastern Senegal. American Journal of Primatology 70: 393–401. Rahm U (1967). Observations during chimpanzee captures in the Congo. In Neue Ergebnisse der Pri-

matologie (Starck D, Schneider R, Kuhn HJ, eds.), pp 195-207. Stuttgart, Gustav Fischer.

Ray JC (2001). Carnivore biogeography and conservation in the African forest: a community perspective. In African Rainforest Ecology and Conservation - An Interdisciplinary Perspective (Weber W, White L, Vedder A, Naughton-Treves L, eds.), pp 214-232. New Haven, Yale University Press.

Ray JC, Sunquist ME (2001). Trophic relations in a community of African rainforest carnivores. Oecologica 127: 395-408.

Remis MJ (1993). Nesting behavior of lowland gorillas in the Dzanga-Sangha Reserve, Central African Republic: implications for population estimates and understandings of group dynamics. Tropics 294: 245-255

Remis MJ (1994). Feeding Ecology and Positional Behavior of Western Lowland Gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) in the Central African Republic. PhD dissertation, Yale University, New Haven.

Rexstad E, Burnham KP (1991). User's Guide for Interactive Program CAPTURE. Abundance Estimation of Closed Animal Populations. Fort Collins, Colorado State University.

Rijksen HD (1978). A Field Study on Sumatran Orangutans (Pongo pygmaeus abelli, Lesson 1827): Ecology, Behaviour and Conservation. PhD dissertation, University of Wageningen.

Rijksen HD, Rijksen-Graatsma A (1975). Orangutan rescue work in North Sumatra. Oryx 13: 63–73.

- Róbbins MM, Bermejo M, Cipolletta C, Magliocca F, Parnell RJ, Stokes E (2004). Social structure and life-history patterns in western gorillas (Gorilla gorilla gorilla). American Journal of Primatology 64: 145-159
- Rovero F, De Luca DW (2007). Checklist of mammals of the Udzungwa Mountains of Tanzania. Mammalia 71: 47-55.

Rovero F, Marshall AR (2009). Camera trapping photograpic rate as an index of density in forest ungulates. Journal of Applied Ecology 46: 1011-1017.

Rovero F, Jones T, Sanderson J (2005). Notes on Abbott's duiker (Cephalophus spadix True 1890) and other forest antelopes of Mwanihana Forest, Udzungwa Mountains, Tanzania, as revealed by camera-trapping and direct observations. Tropical Zoology 18: 13-23.

Sanz CM, Morgan DB (2007). Chimpanzee tool technology in the Goualougo Triangle, Republic of Congo. Journal of Human Evolution 52: 420-433.

Sanz CM, Morgan DB (2011). Elemental variation in the termite fishing of wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). Biology Letters 7: 634-637

Schaller GB (1963). The Mountain Gorilla. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Shultz S, Dunbar RIM (2006). Chimpanzee and felid diet composition is influenced by prey brain size. Biology Letters 2: 505-508.

Shultz S, Noe R, McGraw WS, Dunbar RIM (2004). A community-level evaluation of the impact of prey behavioural and ecological characteristics on predator diet composition. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 271: 725-732.

Silveira L, Jacomo ATA, Diniz-Filho JAF (2003). Camera trap, line transect census and track surveys: a comparative evaluation. Biological Conservation 114: 351-355.

- Silver SC, Ostro LET, Marsh LK, Maffei L, Noss AJ, Kelly MJ, Wallace RB, Gomez H, Ayala G (2004). The use of camera traps for estimating jaguar Panthera onca abundance and density using capture/recapture analysis. Oryx 38: 148-154.
- Sollmann R, Gardner B, Belant JL (2012). How does spatial study design influence density estimates from spatial capture-recapture models? Public Library of Science One 7(4): e34575. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0034575.

Stanford CB (2002). Avoiding predators: expectations and evidence in primate antipredator behavior. International Journal of Primatology 23: 741-756.

Sterck EHM, Watts DP, van Schaik CP (1997). The evolution of social relationships in female primates. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 41: 291-309.

Stevenson-Hamilton J (1947). Wildlife in South Africa. London, Cassell and Co.

- Terborgh J, Janson CH (1986). The socioecology of primate groups. Annual Review of Ecology Systematics 17: 111-135.
- Tobler MW, Carrillo-Percastegui SE, Leite Pitman R, Mares R, Powell G (2008). An evaluation of camera traps for inventorying large- and medium-sized terrestrial rainforest mammals. Animal Conservation 11: 169-178.

Treves A (2000). Theory and method in studies of vigilance and aggression. Animal Behaviour 60: 711-2.2.

Tsukahara T (1993). Lions eat chimpanzees: the first evidence of predation by lions on wild chimpanzees. American Journal of Primatology 29: 1-11.

- Tsukahara T, Nishida T (1990). The first evidence for predation by lions on wild chimpanzees (abstract). 13th Congress of IPS, Nagoya, p 82.
- Tutin CEG, Benirschke K (1991). Possible osteomyelitis of skull causes death of a wild lowland gorilla in the Lopé Reserve, Gabon. *Journal of Medical Primatology* 20: 357–360. Tutin CEG, McGrew WC, Baldwin PJ (1981). Responses of wild chimpanzees to potential predators. In
- Primate Behavior and Scoiobiology (Chiarelli AB, Corrucicini RS, eds.), pp 136-141. Berlin, Springer.

Tutin CEG, McGrew WC, Baldwin P (1983). Social organization of savanna-dwelling chimpanzees, Pan troglodytes verus, at Mt. Assirik, Senegal. Primates 24: 154-174.

- Tutin CEG, Parnell RJ, White LJT, Fernandez M (1995). Nest building by lowland gorillas in the Lopé Reserve, Gabon: environmental influences and implications for censusing. International Journal of Primatology 16: 53-76.
- van Schaik CP (1989). The ecology of social relationships among female primates. In Comparative Socioecology: the Behavioural Écology of Humans and Other Mammals (Standen V, Foley RA, eds.), pp 195-218. Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publications.

van Schaik CP, Höstermann M (1994). Predation risk and the number of adult males in a primate group: a comparative test. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 35: 261-272.

- van Schaik CP, van Noordwijk MA, Warsono B, Sutriono E (1983). Party size and early detection of predators in Sumatran forest primates. Primates 24: 211-221.
- van Zon JCJ, van Orshoven (1967). Enkele resultaten van de Zesde Nederlandse Chimpansee-Expeditie. Vakblad voor Biologen 47: 161-166.

Watts DP (1996). Comparative socio-ecology of gorillas. In Great Ape Societies (McGrew WC, Marchant LF, Nishida T, eds.), pp 16-29. Cambridge, Cambridge University

Watson L (1999-2000). Leopard's pursuit of a lone lowland gorilla Gorilla gorilla gorilla within the Dzangha-Sangha Reserve, Central African Republic. African Primates 4: 74-75

White FJ (1996). Comparative socio-ecology of Pan paniscus. In Great Ape Societies (McGrew WC, Marchant LF, Nishida T, eds.), pp 29–41. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
White GC, Anderson DR, Burnham KP, Otis DL (1982). Capture-Recapture and Removal Methods for

Sampling Closed Populations. Los Alamos, Los Alamos National Laboratory.

Wrangham RW (1980). An ecological model of female-bonded primate groups. Behaviour 75: 262-300. Wrangham RW (1982). Kinship, mutualism and social evolution. In Current Problems in Sociobiology

(Wrangham RW, Rubenstein DI, Dunbar RIM, Bertram BCR, eds.), pp 269–290. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Yamagiwa J (1983). Diachronic changes in two eastern lowland gorilla groups (Gorilla gorilla graueri) in the Mt. Kahuzi Region, Zaire. Primates 24: 174-183.

Yamagiwa J, Kahekwa J (2004). First observations of infanticides by a silverback in Kahuzi-Beiga. Gorilla Iournal 29: 6-7.

Zuberbühler K (2001). Predator-specific alarm calls in Campbell's monkeys, Cercopithecus campbelli. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 50: 414-422.

Zuberbühler K, Jenny D (2002). Leopard predation and primate evolution. Journal of Human Evolution 43: 873-886.